



NOTICE OF MEETING

SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT PANEL

MONDAY, 21 NOVEMBER 2016 AT 6.00 PM

CONFERENCE ROOM A - CIVIC OFFICES

Telephone enquiries to Vicki Plytas on 023 9283 4058
Email: vicki.plytas@portsmouthcc.gov.uk

If any member of the public wishing to attend the meeting has access requirements, please notify the contact named above.

Membership

Councillor Simon Boshier (Chair)
Councillor Ian Lyon (Vice-Chair)
Councillor Alicia Denny
Councillor Ben Dowling
Councillor Scott Harris

Councillor Steve Hastings
Councillor Stephen Morgan
Councillor Darren Sanders
Councillor Tom Wood

Standing Deputies

Councillor Jennie Brent
Councillor Yahiya Chowdhury
Councillor Frank Jonas

Councillor Leo Madden
Councillor Matthew Winnington
Councillor Rob Wood

(NB This Agenda should be retained for future reference with the minutes of this meeting.)

Please note that the agenda, minutes and non-exempt reports are available to view online on the Portsmouth City Council website: www.portsmouth.gov.uk

Deputations by members of the public may be made on any item where a decision is going to be taken. The request should be made in writing to the contact officer (above) by 12 noon of the working day before the meeting, and must include the purpose of the deputation (for example, for or against the recommendations). Email requests are accepted.

AGENDA

- 1 **Declarations of Members' Interests**
- 2 **Apologies for Absence**

- 3 Minutes of the Meetings held on 8 July 2016 and 15 July 2016 (Pages 1 - 8)**

RECOMMENDED that the minutes of the meetings held on 8 July 2016 and 15 July 2016 be confirmed and signed by the Chair as a correct record.

- 4 Notice of Motion Referral from 11 October Council Meeting - Make Fair Transitional State Pension Arrangements for 1950's Women (Pages 9 - 14)**

Background documents are attached. The Panel is asked to consider the Notice of Motion referral.

RECOMMENDED that the Panel considers what it wishes to report back to Full Council and agrees a statement for that purpose.

- 5 Update on members' preferences on which concluded reviews to revisit**

An update will be provided to members on their e-mailed preferences for which concluded reviews carried out by the themed scrutiny panels should be revisited to check the progress of agreed recommendations.

Members are asked to agree a priority order for the reviews chosen.

Members of the public are now permitted to use both audio visual recording devices and social media during this meeting, on the understanding that it neither disrupts the meeting or records those stating explicitly that they do not wish to be recorded. Guidance on the use of devices at meetings open to the public is available on the Council's website and posters on the wall of the meeting's venue.

Agenda Item 3

SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT PANEL

Minutes of the meeting of the Scrutiny Management Panel held on Friday, 8 July 2016 at 3.00 pm at the Civic Offices, Portsmouth

Present

Councillor Simon Boshier (in the Chair)

Councillors Ian Lyon
Alicia Denny
Steve Hastings
Stephen Morgan
Darren Sanders

5. Apologies for Absence (AI 1)

Apologies for absence were given on behalf of Councillor Scott Harris, Councillor Tom Wood and Councillor Ben Dowling. Councillor Stephen Morgan apologised for having to leave at around 4.30 pm should the meeting not be over by that time.

6. Declarations of Members' Interests (AI 2)

Councillor Rob Wood declared a personal non-prejudicial interest in that his step-grandson works for the council in the north of the city.

7. Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 February 2016 (AI 3)

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 2 February 2016 be confirmed and signed by the chair as a correct record.

Members asked the chair of the panel to contact Mr Chris Ward to request that the budget papers be circulated to all members of the Scrutiny Management Panel at the earliest possible stage to enable them to properly consider the proposals and put forward any comments to the Cabinet meeting before the budget went to full council. This was agreed as an action arising from the minutes.

8. Update report on Scrutiny (AI 4)

(TAKE IN INFORMATION ONLY REPORT)

The Senior Local Democracy Officer introduced the report advising that the constitution requires information to be provided to the Scrutiny Management Panel and subsequently full council on the work undertaken by the themed scrutiny panels. Reference was made to the various different ways in which scrutiny reviews could be carried out and particular mention was made of the review into student opportunities undertaken by the Economic Development, Culture & Leisure Scrutiny Panel which had invited all witnesses to attend a

one-off evening event to gather evidence rather than the more usual format of carrying a scrutiny review over several meetings. During discussion the following matters were clarified:

- The chair explained that once a themed panel had completed its review, the report including conclusions and recommendations went to Cabinet for them to decide which to approve.
- The chair explained that any of the recommendations from previous scrutiny reviews could be brought to Scrutiny Management Panel to look again at the recommendations that were approved and how these had been taken forward.
- The panel agreed it would be useful to consider recommendations from some previous reviews as appropriate provided that enough notice was given to the officers concerned. Officers could then attend the Scrutiny Management Panel to provide an update on what had happened since the review had concluded.
- Members requested a list of reviews over the last few years be emailed to the panel after the meeting to enable them to suggest which reviews should come to future meetings.

RESOLVED that

- (1) the report be noted and the work done by the panels be acknowledged;**
- (2) thanks be formally recorded to all those members of the public, witnesses and officers who contributed to the reviews.**

9. Work Programme 2016/17 for the themed scrutiny panels (AI 5)

(TAKE IN ATTACHED SCHEDULE SHOWING SUGGESTED TOPICS FOR REVIEW 2016/2017)

The chair introduced the item explaining that part of the remit of Scrutiny Management Panel is to consider and prioritise topics put forward for review to determine the work programme for the themed scrutiny panels. The chair explained that the Health Overview & Scrutiny Panel topics were outside the remit of the Scrutiny Management Panel. He also explained that future agendas of Scrutiny Management Panel could include any new topics put forward for review by themed scrutiny panels as appropriate.

The panel first considered the topic put forward by the Traffic, Environment & Community Safety panel which was a review of parking and transportation.

During discussion the following matters were raised:

- Members of the panel were concerned that this was a very far reaching topic and was unlikely to be concluded in the timeframe of one year.
- Members felt that the title of the review was vague and asked the chair of the TECS Scrutiny Panel to clarify what would be looked at.

- Members were happy with the review topic put forward but suggested that a back-up topic should also be chosen.
- Members said that if the review was planned to take two years this was a long time for residents to wait but this depended on the terms of reference for the review and exactly what was going to be looked at.
- Members of Scrutiny Management Panel who were also members of TECS advised that the Cabinet Member for Traffic & Transportation had expressed his intention of attending as many of the TECS Scrutiny Panel meetings as possible in an advisory capacity and to answer any questions the panel may have.
- Members were concerned that expectations of residents on hearing a review of traffic and transportation would be undertaken by the scrutiny panel should be managed.
- The vice-chair of Scrutiny Management Panel who was also a member of the TECS Scrutiny Panel explained that the work of the review panel would not stop the Cabinet Member for Traffic & Transportation from implementing changes as these would happen alongside the work of the TECS panel.

Members were content with the topic that had been put forward but asked that clarification be obtained via emails outside the meeting.

The panel next considered topics put forward by the Health & Social Care Scrutiny Panel.

Councillor Darren Sanders as chair of H&SC explained that the panel had met on Monday 4 July and put forward the following topics:

- Housing Need and Empty Properties in Portsmouth and the impact of Government Policy
- Housing Allocations
- Independent Living - how we support individuals in their independent living, who can live independently and should they live independently

Councillor Sanders explained that there was a high level of misunderstanding and misinformation concerning the allocation of housing. He said that there was a need to consult with Councillor Paul Godier who was chairing an officer review into homelessness to check how these topics would interrelate.

Councillor Sanders also said that he felt that the topic on housing allocations would lend itself particularly well to a conference style review similar to the one that had been carried out by EDCL when reviewing student opportunities.

The panel was supportive of the topics that had been put forward for review by H&SC panel. Councillor Sanders suggested that there should be an all councillor briefing on the allocations policy as this was an important matter and members would benefit from more information on this subject.

The panel next discussed the topics put forward by EDCL. The panel was pleased with the descriptions provided on what each suggested topic would cover as this made it easier for them to understand the intentions of what

would be covered by each review. The topics that had been put forward were Smart City Agenda followed by Creative Industries in the City. During discussion the following matters were raised:

- Members felt that the topic on Smart City Agenda would need to be tightly scoped as it could be very far reaching. The panel felt that care would be needed to prevent this from becoming too unwieldy to review effectively.
- Members said that there has been a lot of work already done on this topic in various parts of the country and there was plenty of "off the shelf" Smart City initiatives that could be brought in. Members were anxious that the panel did not use its resources to re-invent the wheel.
- One member commented that there was a deficit of broadband across the city and that superfast broadband was needed as a matter of urgency particularly in the Southsea area.

The panel was happy to support the topics put forward by EDCL subject to the comments summarised above.

Members next considered the topics put forward by Education, Children & Young People's Scrutiny Panel. These were included on the attached sheet. During discussion the following matters were raised:

- Members were advised that the Safer routes to school topic differed from the review into home to school transport in that the first topic was looking at encouraging children to cycle or walk in safe groups to school as opposed to policies on bus routes, or which children get subsidised fares etc.

There followed general discussion about scrutiny during which the following points were made:

- Panel members felt that chairs of individual panels should be reminded about best practice laid down in the code before carrying out scrutiny
- Members were reminded that the ECYP Scrutiny Panel looked at topic areas suggested by Ofsted in the reviews carried out last year.

Members agreed that although they had requested clarity around some of the topics put forward for review they were content to agree the work programmes as put forward by the individual scrutiny panels.

Members said that the narrative included with the EDCL Scrutiny Panel's review topics had been very helpful and that in future, they would like similar narratives to be provided to Scrutiny Management Panel when they consider suggested topics for review.

RESOLVED that the Scrutiny Management Panel determined the work programme for the remainder of the municipal year for each themed scrutiny panel as follows:

- (1) That the Traffic, Environment & Community Safety Scrutiny Panel carry out a review of parking and transportation.**
- (2) That the Economic Development, Culture & Leisure Scrutiny Panel carry out reviews into**
 - (i) Smart City Agenda**
 - (ii) Creative Industries in the City**
- (3) That the Education, Children & Young People's Scrutiny Panel review the following topics:**
 - (i) Continuation of the review into child sexual exploitation**
 - (ii) Widening access to extra-curricular activities in schools**
 - (iii) Bullying in schools with a particular focus on how to combat online bullying**
 - (iv) Safer routes to school**
- (4) Housing & Social Care Scrutiny Panel**
 - (i) Housing need and empty properties in Portsmouth and the impact of government policy**
 - (ii) Housing allocations**
 - (iii) Independent Living - how we support individuals in their independent living, who can live independently and should they live independently.**

10. Date of Next Meeting (AI 6)

The next meeting is scheduled for Friday 15 July at 2.30 pm to consider a call-in.

The meeting concluded at 3.50 pm.

Councillor Simon Boshier
Chair

This page is intentionally left blank

SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT PANEL

Minutes of the meeting of the Scrutiny Management Panel held on Friday, 15 July 2016 at 2.30 pm at the Civic Offices, Portsmouth

Present

Councillor Simon Boshier (in the Chair)

Councillors Ian Lyon
Scott Harris
Steve Hastings

Officers Present
Vicki Plytas, Senior Local Democracy Officer

11. Apologies for absence (AI 1)

Apologies for absence had been received on behalf of Councillors Alicia Denny and Ben Dowling.
Apologies for absence had also been received on behalf of Mr Alan Cufley.

12. Declarations of Members' interests (AI 2)

There were no declarations of Members' Interests.

13. Call-in of the decision taken by the Cabinet Member for Culture, Leisure and Sport (standing in for the then Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation) at the meeting on 10 March 2016 in respect of Agenda Item 5 of that agenda "London Road Proposals TRO 12/2016 (AI 3)

The Chair of the panel read out the following statement:-

"The Lead Call-in Member, Cllr Lynne Stagg advised verbally on 12 July (followed by written notification on 13 July) that she wished to withdraw the call in request concerning the London Road Proposals, North End. Due to shortness of time, it was not possible, following the decision meeting on 10 March, for a Call-in meeting to be scheduled prior to Purdah and in the interim period the scheme has been completed. Cllr Stagg therefore wished to withdraw her request for a Call-in meeting but asked that her concerns be formally noted. The Deputy Chief Executive has written a formal letter advising that her concerns regarding the scheme have been noted and this will be kept with the documents for the meeting. As the meeting had been formally advertised, we are meeting today just to open the meeting, note that the call-in request has been withdrawn and then to close the meeting."

The Senior Local Democracy Officer said that an email had been sent to all members of the panel. This had been sent on the understanding that the meeting would just be opened, the one item of business would be withdrawn and the meeting would be closed. The email stated that 3 members were

needed for the meeting to be quorate so if remaining members were content that the confirmed attendees were all from one party, they would not need to attend. The email also stated that where apologies had already been tendered, these would be recorded, but for others their attendance would be marked "not required".

During a brief discussion, members expressed concern that the call-in request had been withdrawn at such a late stage as unnecessary work and meeting costs could have been avoided.

RESOLVED that the statement included above as read out by the Chair be noted.

The meeting concluded at 2.45 pm.

Councillor Simon Boshier
Chair

Agenda Item 4

Briefing paper for: Scrutiny Management Panel

From: Shaun Tetley, Payroll and Pensions Manager

Fair Transitional State Pension Arrangements for 1950's Women

At a meeting of Full Council on 11 October 2016, the Notice of Motion set out below (that was proposed by Councillor Lynne Stagg and seconded by Councillor Matthew Winnington) was referred to Scrutiny Management Panel for consideration.

Make Fair Transitional State Pension Arrangements for 1950's Women

"Portsmouth City Council calls upon the Government to make fair transitional state pension arrangements for all women born on or after 6th April 1951, who have unfairly borne the burden of the increase to the State Pension Age (SPA) with lack of appropriate notification. Hundreds of thousands of women had significant pension changes imposed on them by the Pensions Acts of 1995 and 2011 with little or no personal notification of the changes. Some women had only two years notice of a six-year increase to their state pension age. Many women born in the 1950s are living in hardship. Retirement plans have been shattered with devastating consequences. Many of these women are already out of the labour market, caring for elderly relatives, providing childcare for grandchildren, or suffer discrimination in the workplace so struggle to find employment.

Women born in this decade are suffering financially. These women have worked hard, raised families and paid their tax and national insurance with the expectation that they would be financially secure when reaching 60. It is not the pension age itself that is in dispute - it is widely accepted that women and men should retire at the same time. The issue is that the rise in the women's state pension age has been too rapid and has happened without sufficient notice being given to the women affected, leaving women with no time to make alternative arrangements.

This council recognises the work done by local residents to raise this issue on behalf of the affected women in Portsmouth and the support from many unaffected residents who share this feeling of injustice.

Many MPs have backed this campaign, including Portsmouth South's Flick Drummond.

Therefore, Portsmouth City Council calls upon the Leader and the Chief Executive to write to the Government to urge them to heed the calls of the petition being delivered to Parliament today and reconsider transitional arrangements for women in Portsmouth and the rest of the UK born on or after 6th April 1951, so that women do not live in hardship due to pension changes they were not told about until it was too late to make alternative arrangements."

To assist the Scrutiny Management Panel with its deliberations and report back to Full Council, the following information is presented.

What is happening to the women's state pension age (SPA)?

The age at which women qualify for the state pension is due to rise to 65 in November 2018 and 66 by October 2020, in order to bring the ages into line with men's SPA. The intention is to increase the SPA in future to take account of everyone living longer.

This equalisation of men and women's state pension age was originally outlined in 1995, when the then Conservative Government said it planned to gradually raise the women's retirement age from 60 to 65 between 2010 and 2020.

This was followed in 2007 by a Labour announcement that both men and women would see their retirement age go up to 66 between 2024 and 2026.

But in 2011, Chancellor George Osborne brought forward the timing of both changes to 2018 and 2020 respectively, hitting women particularly hard because their increases are happening both sooner than expected and in quick succession.

According to House of Commons Library figures compiled for Labour MP and former shadow work and pensions secretary Rachel Reeves, the accelerated changes will result in a state pension loss of between £8,000 and £12,000 state pension. A table is attached at Appendix 1 to show the estimated loss.

What's being done to protest over the changes?

A respected campaign group called the 'Women Against State Pension Inequality' (Wasp) says it agrees with equalising women's and men's pension ages, but not the 'unfair' way the changes are being implemented.

It says this is happening with little or no personal notice and faster than promised, adding: "Retirement plans have been shattered with devastating consequences."

The campaign has prompted 4 parliamentary debates where Wasp called on the Government to make fair transitional arrangements for all women born on or after 6 April 1951.

What does the Government say in response?

In response to the debates, the Department for Work and Pensions said:

'The Government will not be revisiting the state pension age arrangements for women affected by the 1995 or 2011 Acts. The Government carried out extensive analysis of the impacts of bringing forward the rise when legislating for the change. The decision to amend the timetable originally set out in the bill, to cap the maximum increase at 18 months rather than two years, was informed by this analysis.'

It goes on: "The policy decision to increase women's State Pension age is designed to remove the inequality between men and women. The cost of prolonging this inequality would be several billions of pounds."

'Parliament extensively debated the issue and listened to all arguments both for and against the acceleration of the timetable to remove this inequality. The decision was approved by Parliament in 2011 and there is no new evidence to consider.'

The DWP adds that the Government has notified the women affected by the state pension age changes, saying: 'Following the 2011 changes, DWP wrote to all those directly affected to inform them of the change to their state pension age - using the address details recorded by HMRC at the time.'

And it points out that all women affected by faster equalisation will reach state pension age after the introduction of the new state pension, which will 'be more generous for many women who have historically done poorly under the current, two-tier system - largely as a result of lower average earnings and part-time working'.

In his first interview since his appointment at the Department for Work and Pensions, Richard Harrington MP, said he wanted to make "very clear" that the demands of the Waspi campaign group would not be met.

He confirmed that the Government will make no further changes to their pension age or pay them compensation.

He added that some politicians had given them "false hope", leading them to believe that their efforts may result in the Government giving them special treatment. And said "I'd like to make it very clear that the Government will be making no further changes in this field."

Next Stage

Scrutiny Management Panel is asked to consider what it wishes to report back to Full Council.

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix 1

Women's State Pension Age

Current Weekly State Pension Figure	155.65
-------------------------------------	--------

Under the Pension Act 1995		
Date of Birth (from)	Date of Birth (to)	Date State Pension Age Reached

Changes under Pensions Act 2011		
Date of Birth (from)	Date of Birth (to)	Date State Pension Age Reached

Amount Lost

06 Dec 1953	05 Jan 1954	06 Sep 2017	06 Dec 1953	05 Jan 1954	06 Mar 2019	£12,140.70
06 Jan 1954	05 Feb 1954	06 Nov 2017	06 Jan 1954	05 Feb 1954	06 May 2019	£12,140.70
06 Feb 1954	05 Mar 1954	06 Jan 2018	06 Feb 1954	05 Mar 1954	06 Jul 2019	£12,140.70
06 Mar 1954	05 Apr 1954	06 Mar 2018	06 Mar 1954	05 Apr 1954	06 Sep 2019	£12,207.41
06 Apr 1954	05 May 1954	06 May 2018	06 Apr 1954	05 May 1954	06 Nov 2019	£12,207.41
06 May 1954	05 Jun 1954	06 Jul 2018	06 May 1954	05 Jun 1954	06 Jan 2020	£12,207.41
06 Jun 1954	05 Jul 1954	06 Sep 2018	06 Jun 1954	05 Jul 1954	06 Mar 2020	£12,162.94
06 Jul 1954	05 Aug 1954	06 Nov 2018	06 Jul 1954	05 Aug 1954	06 May 2020	£12,162.94
06 Aug 1954	05 Sep 1954	06 Jan 2019	06 Aug 1954	05 Sep 1954	06 Jul 2020	£12,162.94
06 Sep 1954	05 Oct 1954	06 Mar 2019	06 Sep 1954	05 Oct 1954	06 Sep 2020	£12,229.64
06 Oct 1954	05 Nov 1954	06 May 2019	06 Oct 1954	05 Nov 1954	06 Oct 2020	£11,540.34
06 Nov 1954	05 Dec 1954	06 Jul 2019	06 Nov 1954	05 Dec 1954	06 Nov 2020	£10,873.26
06 Dec 1954	05 Jan 1955	06 Sep 2019	06 Dec 1954	05 Jan 1955	06 Dec 2020	£10,161.72
06 Jan 1955	05 Feb 1955	06 Nov 2019	06 Jan 1955	05 Feb 1955	06 Jan 2021	£9,494.65
06 Feb 1955	05 Mar 1955	06 Jan 2020	06 Feb 1955	05 Mar 1955	06 Feb 2021	£8,827.58
06 Mar 1955	05 Apr 1955	06 Mar 2020	06 Mar 1955	05 Apr 1955	06 Mar 2021	£8,116.04
06 Apr 1955	05 May 1955	06 Apr 2020	06 Apr 1955	05 May 1955	06 Apr 2021	£8,116.04
06 May 1955	05 Jun 1955	06 May 2020	06 May 1955	05 Jun 1955	06 May 2021	£8,116.04
06 Jun 1955	05 Jul 1955	06 Jun 2020	06 Jun 1955	05 Jul 1955	06 Jun 2021	£8,116.04
06 Jul 1955	05 Aug 1955	06 Jul 2020	06 Jul 1955	05 Aug 1955	06 Jul 2021	£8,116.04
06 Aug 1955	05 Sep 1955	06 Aug 2020	06 Aug 1955	05 Sep 1955	06 Aug 2021	£8,116.04
06 Sep 1955	05 Oct 1955	06 Sep 2020	06 Sep 1955	05 Oct 1955	06 Sep 2021	£8,116.04
06 Oct 1955	05 Nov 1955	06 Oct 2020	06 Oct 1955	05 Nov 1955	06 Oct 2021	£8,116.04
06 Nov 1955	05 Dec 1955	06 Nov 2020	06 Nov 1955	05 Dec 1955	06 Nov 2021	£8,116.04
06 Dec 1955	05 Jan 1956	06 Dec 2020	06 Dec 1955	05 Jan 1956	06 Dec 2021	£8,116.04
06 Jan 1956	05 Feb 1956	06 Jan 2021	06 Jan 1956	05 Feb 1956	06 Jan 2022	£8,116.04
06 Feb 1956	05 Mar 1956	06 Feb 2021	06 Feb 1956	05 Mar 1956	06 Feb 2022	£8,116.04
06 Mar 1956	05 Apr 1956	06 Mar 2021	06 Mar 1956	05 Apr 1956	06 Mar 2022	£8,116.04
06 Apr 1956	05 May 1956	06 Apr 2021	06 Apr 1956	05 May 1956	06 Apr 2022	£8,116.04
06 May 1956	05 Jun 1956	06 May 2021	06 May 1956	05 Jun 1956	06 May 2022	£8,116.04
06 Jun 1956	05 Jul 1956	06 Jun 2021	06 Jun 1956	05 Jul 1956	06 Jun 2022	£8,116.04
06 Jul 1956	05 Aug 1956	06 Jul 2021	06 Jul 1956	05 Aug 1956	06 Jul 2022	£8,116.04
06 Aug 1956	05 Sep 1956	06 Aug 2021	06 Aug 1956	05 Sep 1956	06 Aug 2022	£8,116.04
06 Sep 1956	05 Oct 1956	06 Sep 2021	06 Sep 1956	05 Oct 1956	06 Sep 2022	£8,116.04
06 Oct 1956	05 Nov 1956	06 Oct 2021	06 Oct 1956	05 Nov 1956	06 Oct 2022	£8,116.04
06 Nov 1956	05 Dec 1956	06 Nov 2021	06 Nov 1956	05 Dec 1956	06 Nov 2022	£8,116.04
06 Dec 1956	05 Jan 1957	06 Dec 2021	06 Dec 1956	05 Jan 1957	06 Dec 2022	£8,116.04
06 Jan 1957	05 Feb 1957	06 Jan 2022	06 Jan 1957	05 Feb 1957	06 Jan 2023	£8,116.04
06 Feb 1957	05 Mar 1957	06 Feb 2022	06 Feb 1957	05 Mar 1957	06 Feb 2023	£8,116.04
06 Mar 1957	05 Apr 1957	06 Mar 2022	06 Mar 1957	05 Apr 1957	06 Mar 2023	£8,116.04
06 Apr 1957	05 May 1957	06 Apr 2022	06 Apr 1957	05 May 1957	06 Apr 2023	£8,116.04
06 May 1957	05 Jun 1957	06 May 2022	06 May 1957	05 Jun 1957	06 May 2023	£8,116.04
06 Jun 1957	05 Jul 1957	06 Jun 2022	06 Jun 1957	05 Jul 1957	06 Jun 2023	£8,116.04

Appendix 1

Women's State Pension Age

Current Weekly State Pension Figure	155.65
-------------------------------------	--------

Under the Pension Act 1995		
Date of Birth (from)	Date of Birth (to)	Date State Pension Age Reached

Changes under Pensions Act 2011		
Date of Birth (from)	Date of Birth (to)	Date State Pension Age Reached

Amount Lost

06 Jul 1957	05 Aug 1957	06 Jul 2022	06 Jul 1957	05 Aug 1957	06 Jul 2023	£8,116.04
06 Aug 1957	05 Sep 1957	06 Aug 2022	06 Aug 1957	05 Sep 1957	06 Aug 2023	£8,116.04
06 Sep 1957	05 Oct 1957	06 Sep 2022	06 Sep 1957	05 Oct 1957	06 Sep 2023	£8,116.04
06 Oct 1957	05 Nov 1957	06 Oct 2022	06 Oct 1957	05 Nov 1957	06 Oct 2023	£8,116.04
06 Nov 1957	05 Dec 1957	06 Nov 2022	06 Nov 1957	05 Dec 1957	06 Nov 2023	£8,116.04
06 Dec 1957	05 Jan 1958	06 Dec 2022	06 Dec 1957	05 Jan 1958	06 Dec 2023	£8,116.04
06 Jan 1958	05 Feb 1958	06 Jan 2023	06 Jan 1958	05 Feb 1958	06 Jan 2024	£8,116.04
06 Feb 1958	05 Mar 1958	06 Feb 2023	06 Feb 1958	05 Mar 1958	06 Feb 2024	£8,116.04
06 Mar 1958	05 Apr 1958	06 Mar 2023	06 Mar 1958	05 Apr 1958	06 Mar 2024	£8,138.27
06 Apr 1958	05 May 1958	06 Apr 2023	06 Apr 1958	05 May 1958	06 Apr 2024	£8,138.27
06 May 1958	05 Jun 1958	06 May 2023	06 May 1958	05 Jun 1958	06 May 2024	£8,138.27
06 Jun 1958	05 Jul 1958	06 Jun 2023	06 Jun 1958	05 Jul 1958	06 Jun 2024	£8,138.27
06 Jul 1958	05 Aug 1958	06 Jul 2023	06 Jul 1958	05 Aug 1958	06 Jul 2024	£8,138.27
06 Aug 1958	05 Sep 1958	06 Aug 2023	06 Aug 1958	05 Sep 1958	06 Aug 2024	£8,138.27
06 Sep 1958	05 Oct 1958	06 Sep 2023	06 Sep 1958	05 Oct 1958	06 Sep 2024	£8,138.27
06 Oct 1958	05 Nov 1958	06 Oct 2023	06 Oct 1958	05 Nov 1958	06 Oct 2024	£8,138.27
06 Nov 1958	05 Dec 1958	06 Nov 2023	06 Nov 1958	05 Dec 1958	06 Nov 2024	£8,138.27
06 Dec 1958	05 Jan 1959	06 Dec 2023	06 Dec 1958	05 Jan 1959	06 Dec 2024	£8,138.27
06 Jan 1959	05 Feb 1959	06 Jan 2024	06 Jan 1959	05 Feb 1959	06 Jan 2025	£8,138.27
06 Feb 1959	05 Mar 1959	06 Feb 2024	06 Feb 1959	05 Mar 1959	06 Feb 2025	£8,138.27
06 Mar 1959	05 Apr 1959	06 Mar 2024	06 Mar 1959	05 Apr 1959	06 Mar 2025	£8,116.04
06 Apr 1959	05 May 1959	06 Apr 2024	06 Apr 1959	05 May 1959	06 Apr 2025	£8,116.04
06 May 1959	05 Jun 1959	06 May 2024	06 May 1959	05 Jun 1959	06 May 2025	£8,116.04
06 Jun 1959	05 Jul 1959	06 Jun 2024	06 Jun 1959	05 Jul 1959	06 Jun 2025	£8,116.04
06 Jul 1959	05 Aug 1959	06 Jul 2024	06 Jul 1959	05 Aug 1959	06 Jul 2025	£8,116.04
06 Aug 1959	05 Sep 1959	06 Aug 2024	06 Aug 1959	05 Sep 1959	06 Aug 2025	£8,116.04
06 Sep 1959	05 Oct 1959	06 Sep 2024	06 Sep 1959	05 Oct 1959	06 Sep 2025	£8,116.04
06 Oct 1959	05 Nov 1959	06 Oct 2024	06 Oct 1959	05 Nov 1959	06 Oct 2025	£8,116.04
06 Nov 1959	05 Dec 1959	06 Nov 2024	06 Nov 1959	05 Dec 1959	06 Nov 2025	£8,116.04
06 Dec 1959	05 Jan 1960	06 Dec 2024	06 Dec 1959	05 Jan 1960	06 Dec 2025	£8,116.04
06 Jan 1960	05 Feb 1960	06 Jan 2025	06 Jan 1960	05 Feb 1960	06 Jan 2026	£8,116.04
06 Feb 1960	05 Mar 1960	06 Feb 2025	06 Feb 1960	05 Mar 1960	06 Feb 2026	£8,116.04
06 Mar 1960	05 Apr 1960	06 Mar 2025	06 Mar 1960	05 Apr 1960	06 Mar 2026	£8,116.04